

REMARKS

Status of Claims

Claims 1-3 and 9 are currently pending.

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants thank the Examiner for consideration of the Information Disclosure Statements filed August 4, 2010, October 25, 2010, and November 16, 2010, by returning an initialed copies of the Forms PTO-1449 submitted therein.

Response to Art Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103

Turning to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), Applicants have considered the 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection in the Office Action, but still disagree that claims 1-6 and 9 are obvious over Castellano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,536,249, “CASTELLANO”) alone.

In response, CASTELLANO fails to disclose all elements of Applicants’ invention, and likewise the Office Action does not present a sustainable *prima facie* case of obviousness.

The Office Action at page 3 submits that:

Castellano does not specifically recite transmitting the does of insulin to the insulin delivery unit; however, he does disclose an I/O port capable of receiving and transmitting (Col. 14 lines 42-49). It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Castellano to not only receive data from the insulin delivery unit, but also to transmit data back to it in order to facilitate and expedite data flow.

In response, Applicants respectfully submit that while CASTELLANO may disclose an I/O port, it stops short of disclosing or suggesting at least “*a master module ...configured to ... transmit the predicted dose of insulin to the insulin delivery unit*” as in claim 1. Likewise, CASTELLANO does not disclose or suggest at least “*a communication unit that transmits the corrective amount to the delivery unit*” as in claim 9. Therefore, in stark contrast to Applicants’ claimed invention, the I/O port in CASTELLANO does nothing more than transmit data in or out of the device, e.g. as provided at col. 14, lines 41-48 of CASTELLANO. Thus, CASTELLANO does not “*transmit the predicted dose of insulin to the insulin delivery unit*” as in claim 1, or

“transmit[] the corrective amount to the delivery unit” as in claim 9.

The Office at p. 4 of the Office Action, in response to Applicants noted arguments above, submits:

[T]hat the (I/O) port of Castellano (col 8, ln 10-60) discloses an input/output port that is configured to transmit data back and forth with specific respect to the user/patient. Castellano discloses (col 8, ln 20-30) that the port can be used to download user stored information and for updated program instructions. The Examiner asserts that this port is configured to transmit expected dose data from either patient (see Figures 24a-d) (col 14, ln 25-40) or practitioner for controlled diabetes treatment (based upon sensed trends stored in the RAM 324), which is congruent with the teachings in Castellano.

In response, Applicants submit that the port of CASTELLANO does not make obvious Applicants' claim 1 which *“transmit[s] the predicted dose of insulin to the insulin delivery unit”*. Because an I/O port is present in CASTELLANO, does not mean that CASTELLANO is magically able to perform Applicants' claimed functions. The rejection in the Office Action lacks a reasonable basis for obviousness, and must be withdrawn.

In view of at least the foregoing arguments, CASTELLANO fails to disclose or suggest all elements of the rejected claims. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that all rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn.

Suggested Interference with U.S. Patent No. 6,544,212

Further to MPEP §§ 2304.02(c), 2304.04(a) and 37 CFR § 41.202, Applicants suggest and present claims 1 and 9 in this application for the purposes of provoking an interference with US Patent No. 6,544,212, a copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,544,212 is submitted herewith (in a Supplemental IDS). Specifically, Applicants suggest **Count 1** as Applicants claim 1 which is substantially the same as claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,544,212. Alternatively, Applicants suggest **Count 2** as Applicants claim 9, which is copied as claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,544,212. Accordingly, Applicants suggest **Count 1** and/or **Count 2** as suggested interference counts.

Applicants note that the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 135(b)(1) are met because claims 1 and 9 in the present application were pending at least as early as September 17, 2003, less than one year after the issuance of U.S. Patent No. 6,544,212 (*i.e.*, issued April 8, 2003). Likewise,

the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 135(b)(2) are met because claims 1 and 9 in the present application were pending at least as early as September 17, 2003, less than one year after the publication of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0028089 (*i.e.*, published February 6, 2003), the publication corresponding to U.S. Patent No. 6,544,212.

Applicants claims 1 and/or 9 would prevail in priority of at least claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,544,202 because Applicants claim priority of at least one of 35 U.S.C. §§ 119, 120, 121, and 365 by over 2 years 8 months as compared to U.S. Patent No. 6,544,212.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 41.202(a)(3) and 41.203(a), Applicants provide on the following pages claim charts for each proposed Count showing why at least claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,544,212 interfere with claims 1 and 9 of the present application. The bolded text in the table below demonstrates clearly that the patent claim corresponds to the alternative proposed count, as it is indisputable that the bolded text in each column has the same scope.

Suggested Interference Count 1:

Applicants' claim 1	US 6,544,212 claim 1
<p>1. A system for assisting a diabetic subject in controlling blood glucose levels, the system comprising:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. an insulin delivery unit; b. a blood glucose monitor; c. a master module that <p>includes a processor that is configured to receive a blood glucose value</p> <p>from the blood glucose monitor and</p> <p>to run a model that predicts a future glucose value and</p> <p>compares that value with a target value and</p> <p>then predict a dose of insulin that will result in an acceptable blood glucose level</p> <p>and transmit the predicted dose of insulin to the insulin delivery unit.</p>	<p>1. A system for providing glycemic control to a subject, the system comprising:</p> <p>an insulin delivery unit,</p> <p>a glucose sensor,</p> <p>a control unit including</p> <p>a processor unit</p> <p>that receives glucose value readings</p> <p>from the glucose sensor,</p> <p>executes an algorithm that predicts a glucose value at a pre-determined time in the future,</p> <p>compares that predicted glucose value to a pre-determined glucose value range, and</p> <p>determines a corrective amount of insulin to be administered when the predictive glucose value lies outside of the pre-determined glucose value range and</p> <p>communicates the corrective amount to the delivery unit.</p>

Suggested Interference Count 2:

Applicants' claim 9	US 6,544,212 - Claim 1
<p>9. A system for providing glycemic control to a subject, the system comprising:</p> <p>an insulin delivery unit,</p> <p>a glucose sensor,</p> <p>a control unit including</p> <p>a processor unit that receives glucose value readings</p> <p>from the glucose sensor,</p> <p>executes an algorithm that predicts a glucose value at a pre-determined time in the future,</p> <p>compares that predicted glucose value to a pre-determined glucose value range, and</p> <p>determines a corrective amount of insulin to be administered when the predictive glucose value lies outside of the pre-determined glucose value range and</p> <p>communicates the corrective amount to the delivery unit.</p>	<p>1. A system for providing glycemic control to a subject, the system comprising:</p> <p>an insulin delivery unit,</p> <p>a glucose sensor,</p> <p>a control unit including</p> <p>a processor unit that receives glucose value readings</p> <p>from the glucose sensor,</p> <p>executes an algorithm that predicts a glucose value at a pre-determined time in the future,</p> <p>compares that predicted glucose value to a pre-determined glucose value range, and</p> <p>determines a corrective amount of insulin to be administered when the predictive glucose value lies outside of the pre-determined glucose value range and</p> <p>communicates the corrective amount to the delivery unit.</p>

In addition, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.203(a), Applicants assert that at least claims 1 and 9 of the present application would, if prior art, have anticipated or rendered obvious at least claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,544,212 in view of suggested Count 1 and/or Count 2 and therefore they should all be designated as corresponding to the Count(s).

Support for the claims 1-3 and 9 can be found in the specification. The claims are reproduced below with bold/bracketed text that indicates examples of where support for each element can be found in the specification:

1. A system for assisting a diabetic subject in controlling blood glucose levels, the system comprising:

- a. insulin delivery unit **[see p. 9, lines 10, 18; Figure 5];**
 - b. blood glucose monitor **[see p. 9, lines 8-12; p 20, lines 4-9; Figures 5-6];**
 - c. a master module **[p. 28 line 14]** that includes a processor that is configured to receive a blood glucose value from the blood glucose monitor **[see p 20, lines 4-9]** and to run a model that predicts a future glucose value **[p. 13, lines 21-27]** and compares that value with a target value and then predict a dose of insulin that will result in an acceptable blood glucose level **[p 13, line 29- p. 14 line 2; see also p. 21, lines 4-14]** and transmit the predicted dose of insulin to the insulin delivery unit **[see p. 21 lines 4-14].**
2. The system of claim 1, wherein the processor is configured to receive other data from the subject. **[p. 8, lines 6-30]**
3. The system of claim 2 wherein the data includes information on size and type of meal to be ingested and anticipated duration and intensity of exercise. **[p. 8, lines 8-13].**
9. A system for providing glycemic control to a subject, the system comprising:
 - an insulin delivery unit **[see p. 9, lines 10, 18; Figure 5],**
 - a glucose sensor **[see p. 9, lines 8-12; p 20, lines 4-9, Figures 5&6],**
 - a control unit **[p. 28 line 14]** including a processor unit that receives glucose value readings **[see p 20, lines 4-9]** from the glucose sensor, executes an algorithm **[p. 13, lines 21-27]** that predicts a glucose value at a pre-determined time in the future, compares that predicted glucose value to a pre-determined glucose value range, and determines a corrective amount of insulin to be administered when the predictive glucose value lies outside of the pre-determined glucose value range **[p 13, line 29- p. 14 line 2; see also p. 21, lines 4-14]** and a communications unit that transmits the corrective amount to the delivery unit **[see p. 21, lines 4-14].**

Conclusion

In conclusion, Applicants respectfully submit that the document asserted in the Final Office Action does not disclose or suggest every element of Applicants' claims. Moreover, for at least the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully assert that the present Application interferes with US Patent No. 6,544,212 and request that an interference be declared. Applicants suggest that claim 9 and/or claim 1 serve as the basis for the Count or Counts.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees, including fees for extensions of time, in connection with this application and to credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 14-1447. Should the Examiner have any questions or concerns, she should feel free to contact the applicants' attorney to discuss them.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 28, 2011

/Wesley A. Nicolas, Reg. No. 56,129/
Wesley A. Nicolas, Reg. No. 56,129
Novo Nordisk, Inc.
Customer Number 23650
(609) 987-5800